

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

Criminal Action 2:20-cr-195
JUDGE MICHAEL H. WATSON

TERRY J. SLOCUM, JR.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant Terry J. Slocum, Jr., previously pleaded not guilty to an *Indictment* charging him with two (2) counts of being a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1), 924(a). *Indictment*, ECF No. 13. The *Indictment* also contains a forfeiture provision. *Id.* The United States and defendant thereafter entered into a plea agreement, *Plea Agreement*, ECF No. 26, but defendant chose not to go forward on that plea agreement. *See Minute Entry*, ECF No. 33. The parties thereafter executed an *Amended Plea Agreement*, ECF No. 36, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, whereby defendant agreed to enter a plea of guilty to both counts of the *Indictment*.¹ On May 12, 2021, defendant, assisted by his counsel, participated in a change of plea proceeding.

After being advised of his right to appear personally and with his counsel and after consulting with his counsel, defendant consented to appear by videoconference.

Defendant consented, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(3), to enter a guilty plea before a Magistrate Judge. *See United States v. Cukaj*, 25 Fed.Appx. 290, 291(6th Cir. 2001)(Magistrate Judge may accept a guilty plea with the express consent of the defendant and where no objection to the report and recommendation is filed).

¹ The *Amended Plea Agreement*, ECF No. 36, specifies certain sentencing terms and includes an appellate waiver provision that preserves only certain claims for appeal or collateral challenge. In the *Amended Plea Agreement*,

During the plea proceeding, the undersigned observed the appearance and responsiveness of defendant in answering questions. Based on that observation, the undersigned is satisfied that, at the time he entered his guilty plea, defendant was in full possession of his faculties, was not suffering from any apparent physical or mental illness and was not under the influence of narcotics, other drugs, or alcohol.

Prior to accepting defendant's plea, the undersigned addressed defendant personally and in open court and determined his competence to plead. Based on the observations of the undersigned, defendant understands the nature and meaning of the charges in the *Indictment* and the consequences of his plea of guilty to those charges. Defendant was also addressed personally and in open court and advised of each of the rights referred to in Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Having engaged in the colloquy required by Rule 11, the Court concludes that defendant's plea is voluntary. Defendant acknowledged that the *Amended Plea Agreement*, ECF No. 36, signed by him, his attorney and the attorney for the United States and filed on April 22, 2021, represents the only promises currently made by anyone regarding the charges in the *Indictment*. Defendant was advised that the District Judge may accept or reject the *Amended Plea Agreement*. Defendant was further advised that, if the District Judge refuses to accept the *Amended Plea Agreement*, defendant will have the opportunity to withdraw his guilty plea but that, if he does not withdraw his guilty plea under those circumstances, the District Judge may impose a sentence that is more severe than the sentence contemplated in the *Amended Plea Agreement*, up to the statutory maximum.

Defendant confirmed the accuracy of the statement of facts supporting the charges, which is attached to the *Amended Plea Agreement*. He confirmed that he is pleading guilty to Counts 1 and 2

defendant also agreed to the forfeiture provision in the *Indictment*.

of the *Indictment* because he is in fact guilty of those offenses. The Court concludes that there is a factual basis for the plea.

The Court concludes that defendant's plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 of the *Indictment* is knowingly and voluntarily made with understanding of the nature and meaning of the charges and of the consequences of the plea.

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that defendant's guilty plea to Counts 1 and 2 of the *Indictment* be accepted. Decision on acceptance or rejection of the plea agreement was deferred for consideration by the District Judge after the preparation of a presentence investigation report.

In accordance with S.D. Ohio Crim. R. 32.1, and as expressly agreed to by defendant through counsel, a written presentence investigation report will be prepared by the United States Probation Office. Defendant will be asked to provide information; defendant's attorney may be present if defendant so wishes. Objections to the presentence report must be made in accordance with the rules of this Court.

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this *Report and Recommendation*, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the *Report and Recommendation*, specifically designating this *Report and Recommendation*, and the part thereof in question, as well as the basis for objection thereto. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1); F.R. Civ. P. 72(b). Response to objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. F.R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that failure to object to the *Report and Recommendation* will result in a waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the *Report and Recommendation*. See *United States v. Wandahsega*, 924 F.3d 868, 878 (6th Cir. 2019); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

May 12, 2021
Date

s/ Norah McCann King
Norah McCann King
United States Magistrate Judge